UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION - DETROIT

IN RE: SAMUEL DAVID ELLIOT	CASE NO CHAPTEH JUDGE:	
	JODGE.	TUCKEK
ORDER DENYING, WITHOUT PREJUDICE, MOTION FOR EXPEDITED HEARING		
This case is before the Court on the D	ebtor's "Motion for Exped	dited Hearing[,
etc.]" filed June 17, 2009. (Docket # 24, the	"Expedited Hearing Motio	on"). The
Expedited Hearing Motion will be denied at t	his time because the Debte	or's underlying
motion does not comply with L.B.R. 9014-1(g). That rule states:	
(g) Statement of Concurrence proceeding, or in a bankruptcy burdensome, the motion shall concurrence of opposing coun been requested on a specified was denied.	case unless it is unduly affirmatively state that sel in the relief sought has	1
The underlying motion (Docket # 23)	does not comply with this	rule, and
Debtor's counsel must do so before the Court will consider granting an expedited hearing		
on the motion. Debtor's counsel should seek the concurrence of counsel for the Chapter		
13 Trustee. If Debtor and the Trustee can reach agreement, the underlying motion can be		
resolved without a hearing, by filing a stipulation and submitting an agreed order.		
Accordingly,		
IT IS ORDERED that the Expedited	Hearing Motion (Docket	# 24) is denied,
without prejudice to Debtor's right to file a new motion seeking an expedited hearing		
after filing a supplement to the underlying motion (Docket # 23) showing compliance		
with L.B.R. 9014-1(g).		
Signed on June 17, 2009		

/s/ Thomas J. Tucker
Thomas J. Tucker

United States Bankruptcy Judge